IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER

10 DARREN HARRIS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. 1:05-cv-00003 ALA P

VS.

13 | DR. KIM, et al.,

Defendants.

/

On December 6, 2007, this court issued an order which allowed plaintiff to proceed in this action against some, but not all, of the named defendants or file an amended complaint. That order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal service on December 21, 2007.

Plaintiff has now filed a motion requesting an enlargement of time to file an amended complaint, as well as a motion for the appointment of counsel. In his motion, plaintiff claims that he received the courts December 6, 2007, order on February 20, 2008, and therefore, that the date of that order is an error. Plaintiff is informed that the date of the court's December 6, 2007, order is not in error.

After the court's December 6, 2007, order was returned to the court as undeliverable, plaintiff filed a motion for production of interrogatories. Written on that filing was plaintiff's updated address. The Clerks Office then reserved plaintiff with the returned order at his current address.

Case 1:05-cv-00003-AWI-SKO Document 37 Filed 03/24/08 Page 2 of 2

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	Q

It appears plaintiff failed to comply with Local Rules 83-182(f), and 11-110 (E.D. Cal. 1997), which require that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. Plaintiff is reminded of his obligation to comply with these rules and that failure to comply in the future will result in dismissal of this action.

As to plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel, the United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

/////

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's March 21, 2008, motion to file an amended complaint is GRANTED;
- 2. Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint within twenty-eight (28) days of the date of this order;
 - 3. Plaintiff is ordered to provide the court with his current address; and
 - 4. Plaintiff's March 21, 2008, motion for the appointment of counsel is DENIED

/////

DATED: March 21, 2008

s/ Arthur L. Alarcón UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE Sitting by Designation